

## EXHIBIT D

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)

- - -  
IN RE:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, : SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF  
et al, : NEW YORK)  
: CHAPTER 11

Debtors :

:

:

:

:

:

- - -

DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL EXAMINATION OF

FRANK REED

Mount Laurel, New Jersey

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 13, 2014

- - -

REPORTED BY: HEATHER A. KIRSCH, CCR

- - -

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES  
(866) 624-6221  
[www.MagnaLS.com](http://www.MagnaLS.com)

Page 94

1 approve the Jacobs loan?

2 A I contacted Commerce and asked them  
3 what do you do about the fact that this is a  
4 defective appraisal? And I was told that I, as  
5 the person who did not apply for the mortgage,  
6 could not ask for them to correct the clear  
7 errors in the appraisal.

8 Q So you had a long-standing relationship  
9 with Commerce, right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And ultimately you refused to return the  
12 Jacobs \$50,000 deposit, right?

13 A That's privileged between my wife and  
14 I. I don't know about how to say who actually  
15 was the one to say who actually was the one who  
16 refused to return the Jacobs money.

17 Nonetheless, the buyers as a group, Christina  
18 and Frank authorized that it not be returned.

19 Q So at one point you attempted to settle  
20 with the Jacobs for half of the deposit, if you  
21 understand, right?

22 A Oh, I don't remember. I honestly  
23 don't remember that perhaps.

24 Q You did testify to that in a different  
25 deposition?

Page 95

1 A If I testified to that in a different  
2 deposition, closer in time to that, I would go  
3 with it. I can't remember.

4 Q Does it refresh your recollection --

5 A No.

6 Q -- to know that you said that they could  
7 have 25,000 back and you, the Reed's would keep  
8 25,000 and they could walk away?

9 A I do not recall the specifics. I  
10 recall trying to negotiate the contract even  
11 prior to that situation.

12 Q So after you tried to settle with them over  
13 the deposit, they ended up suing you and Mrs.  
14 Reed, right?

15 A Yes. You pointed that out earlier. I  
16 thought I was the one who was doing the suing  
17 and you said they were the ones, we were the  
18 defendants. They were the plaintiffs.

19 Q So who won that case?

20 A The Jacobs won on summary judgment.  
21 It's a thing that I will take to my grave. I am  
22 aggravated that and I'm going to say my peace  
23 for the record here, Barb, just because it's  
24 going to go down somewhere in someone's record.  
25 Is one provision in that Jacobs contract that

Page 96

1       burns in my memory and that is they were  
2       supposed to take reasonable efforts to obtain a  
3       mortgage and --

4       Q       And the judge found that they did?

5           A       The judge found that they did because  
6       on our side didn't put on evidence as to what  
7       the reasonableness was. I found my attorney  
8       committed malpractice because I asked him to  
9       address that very point.

10      Q       In that litigation you kind of mentioned  
11       this earlier, you counselor sued the appraiser,  
12       the realtor and Commerce, which became TD,  
13       right?

14      A       Appraiser, realtor -- appraiser,  
15       realtor and Commerce.

16      Q       Right.

17      A       Yes, appraiser being -- the appraiser  
18       for the Jacobs.

19      Q       Robert Jones?

20      A       I guess the guy's name.

21      Q       So you think Mr. Jones made mistakes on his  
22       report, right?

23      A       TD Bank told me they thought so as  
24       well.

25      Q       But you thought so, right?

Page 108

1 A The initial offer, yes, because --

2 Q And even their second offer?

3 A Yeah, both offers were below the  
4 asking.

5 Q And why was their offer so much less than  
6 the asking price?

7 A I can't answer their motivation. How  
8 can -- I mean, save money like anyone, I guess,  
9 that could make an offer.

10 Q Right. The foreclosure hadn't been filed  
11 at that point, correct?

12 A That is correct. But we were a high  
13 priced house at that time, too.

14 Q You actually agreed to sell for less than  
15 the asking price, didn't you?

16 A I did.

17 Q And it wasn't a distressed sale at that  
18 time, correct?

19 A That is correct. It was marginally  
20 less than the asking price, but correct.

21 Q So Mr. McCaffrey, who we talked about a  
22 moment ago, he ended up preparing two  
23 appraisals, didn't he?

24 A He came to my house one time. I had  
25 one from that guy who liked Disney stuff, the

Page 109

1 guy from the Jacobs and then McCaffrey came  
2 through, but I can't remember when he came  
3 through.

4 Q Well, McCaffrey came up with two different  
5 values first he came up with 1.975, then later  
6 he came up with a second report of 2,040,000.  
7 Do you remember that?

8 A No, but okay.

9 Q Do you remember arguing that  
10 Mr. McCaffrey's first report at 1.975 had  
11 mistakes in it, just like the Jones' report?

12 A I wouldn't put it beyond me to point  
13 out that there are missed pieces to some value.

14 Q So you argued about it with TD Bank and  
15 McCaffrey ended up re-doing his report and  
16 coming in at the exact amount of the sales  
17 price, 2,040,000. Do you remember that?

18 A You know, I remember them -- I think I  
19 do remember that there was an initial report. I  
20 don't know -- maybe so. But I think actually,  
21 to be honest with you, hold on, let me think.  
22 Give me a second, I'm trying to remember this.  
23 I don't want to misstate things just because I  
24 feel like I remember it. I want to see if I can  
25 remember this.

Page 110

1 Hold on. Hold on. I do remember. I  
2 remember some of this now, the McCaffrey --  
3 here's what happened, I'm pretty sure McCaffrey  
4 had the first report was 1.975. I don't  
5 remember the number. You're telling me, 1.975.  
6 I'm not testifying that being the actual number  
7 that's okay you can show it to me. I'm pretty  
8 sure that I did argue about. I don't put it  
9 past myself, but I do remember because it must  
10 have happened. It must have happened because I  
11 remember here's what I do remember, McCaffrey  
12 telling me -- McCaffrey telling me -- I don't  
13 know if it was a conference call with TD Bank  
14 now or not, that he had to cap the value -- that  
15 yes, that what I pointed out was correct, that  
16 the house was worth more, but that he had to cap  
17 the value at two million forty because there was  
18 a contract that had been on the house for two  
19 million forty.

20 So in other words, I had won my argument  
21 about the larger value of the property with  
22 Mr. McCaffrey and TD Bank had agreed with me,  
23 but McCaffrey said look, I can't go beyond two  
24 million forty, the market value has been set.  
25 The market value has been set by a contract, a

Page 111

1 relevant recent contract or I can't remember  
2 when he did the damn report. But that's what he  
3 said, I can't -- I can't go beyond two million  
4 forty. That's why there was -- you know, for  
5 example, I think your tone implied that there  
6 was a coincidence to it. He capped it at that.  
7 I remember this now.

8 Q But you remember that he did an appraisal  
9 that came in below 2,040,000, right?

10 A I think -- I thought it was like two  
11 million, I don't remember, but we're dibbling  
12 over 70,000 or \$25,000 or something like.

13 Q You that I thought it's dibbling but  
14 McCaffrey's first report came in at virtually  
15 the same number as Mr. Jones report and the  
16 field review of Mr. Jones report?

17 A Right. The field review, I don't know  
18 what credence that has because the field review,  
19 what I understand a field review to be, which I  
20 didn't elaborate just a moment ago, but a field  
21 review is merely a mathematical checking of the  
22 calculations in an appraisal. It doesn't  
23 actually verify the characteristics or  
24 measurements of the property themselves.

25 So the verification of those

Page 112

1 characteristics is what was essential because  
2 that's what was in error. If you were to put on  
3 a board two plus two equals four and say can you  
4 field review that, they would say two plus two  
5 equals four. They wouldn't look in the box to  
6 see there were three apples in one and two in  
7 the other to say three plus two equals five.

8 Q Do you think it makes any difference  
9 Mr. McCaffrey's appraisal was done in connection  
10 with your loan with the bank?

11 A Mr. McCaffrey's -- hold on a second.  
12 I was on a little emotional roll there. Does it  
13 make a difference -- please, Barb, say the  
14 question again?

15 Q Does it a make a difference that  
16 Mr. McCaffrey's report was done in connection  
17 with your loan to TD Bank as opposed to the  
18 Jacobs loan?

19 A The functional difference between  
20 those two scenarios is I was willing to have the  
21 review done that the Jacobs refused to have  
22 done. Do you understand? I want to make sure  
23 you understand what I'm answering there, Barb.  
24 That is the difference. The errors -- when  
25 there were errors found in my report, I was the